

# MIXED-MODE CRACK GROWTH IN TOUGHENED PMMA

L. ANDENA<sup>1</sup>, A. CORIGLIANO<sup>2</sup>, R. FRASSINE<sup>1</sup>, S. MARIANI<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dipartimento di Chimica, Materiali e Ingegneria Chimica "Giulio Natta", Politecnico di Milano, Milano (ITALY)

<sup>2</sup>Dipartimento di Ingegneria Strutturale, Politecnico di Milano, Milano (ITALY)

## ABSTRACT

Experimental results concerning mixed-mode crack growth in PMMA specimens are presented, together with numerical simulations of the whole fracture process. The mixed-mode tests were conducted on single edge notched specimens subject to three point bending loading conditions. The mode-mixity of the fracture loading was obtained by means of a notch offset with respect to the mid-span cross section. A generalized finite element approach was adopted for the numerical simulations, coupled with a local description of the fracture process based on a mixed-mode cohesive law.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Mixed-mode fracture is an important issue in many engineering applications, and the number of the published research on the subject has been steadily growing in the most recent years [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. In many practical situations, even components having relatively simple geometry and loading conditions (like films, composite plates and adhesive joints) may undergo mixed-mode fracture due to different combinations of service loadings and hygrothermal stresses. Under these conditions, crack initiation will be associated to different critical strain energy release rates at varying the mode-mixity ratio. As far as damage-tolerant design is concerned, the need for quantitative prediction of the remaining lifetime of a component also requires the crack propagation stage to be analysed. This may take place along a very complex crack path depending on material microstructure, loading conditions and component geometry, usually undergoing a transition from shear to opening fracture mode for most practical situations.

In the present paper, the mixed-mode fracture behaviour of a rubber-modified polymethyl methacrylate was characterised using asymmetric three point bending testing geometry. The fracture behaviour was simulated using a generalized finite element approach coupled with a local description of the fracture process using a specially formulated mixed-mode cohesive law, and the results were compared in order to get some insights about the transition from stable to unstable crack propagation.

## 2 MIXED-MODE THREE POINT BENDING TESTS ON PMMA

The material used in this study was a rubber toughened polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) with 22 wt% of acrylic rubber, supplied in form of extruded sheets with nominal thickness  $B = 8$  mm. The glassy matrix has weight and number average molecular weights of 134000 and 68000, respectively. The glass transition temperature of the matrix is 105 °C, while that of the rubbery phase is -30 °C.

A three point bending (TPB) test configuration was considered, using single edge notched specimens. The geometry of the specimens is shown in Fig. 1. The specimens has width  $W$  twice the thickness  $B$  and the span  $S$  is four times  $W$ .

Notching was performed in two stages. In the first one a notch was made with a 0.015 mm radius blade moving alternatively into the specimen. In the second one, the same blade was pushed into the previously prepared notch after cooling the material to -40 °C so as to propagate a short brittle crack: the blade works as a wedge and causes the formation of a natural crack ahead of the machined notch. The highly stressed zone that developed ahead of the notch tip during the machining operation was removed by annealing the specimens at 90 °C for 5 h and then cooling it to 23 °C at a rate of 1 °C/min [6]. Two different initial notch depths  $a_0$  were considered, corresponding to  $a_0/W$  ratios of 0.3 and 0.6. In order to ensure mixed-mode crack loadings, the notches were performed at a varying distance  $d$  from the mid-span cross section of the specimens: three different offset ratios  $\chi \equiv 2d/S$  of 0.25, 0.50 and 0.75 were used.

Fracture testing was conducted at 23 °C and at constant crosshead displacement rate  $du/dt = 5$  mm/min with an Instron 1185 dynamometer. A 10 kN load cell was used to measure the load  $P$ .




Figure 1: mixed-mode TPB test. Test set-up, geometry and notation.

### 3 NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

The extended finite element method for quasi-brittle fracture proposed in [7, 8] was here adopted for the numerical simulation of the mixed-mode TPB tests discussed in Section 2.

Let us consider a two-dimensional body  $\Omega$  with boundary  $\Gamma = \Gamma_t \cup \Gamma_u$ : tractions are prescribed on  $\Gamma_t$  and displacements on  $\Gamma_u$ . Let  $\Gamma_d$  be a propagating discontinuity locus inside  $\Omega$ ; along  $\Gamma_d$  a cohesive interaction between the two flanks exists in the process zone (PZ).

The equilibrium conditions for  $\Omega$  are:

$$\mathcal{C}^T \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \bar{\mathbf{b}} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus \Gamma_d; \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{N} \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \bar{\mathbf{t}} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_t; \quad (2)$$

$$\mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\sigma} = -\mathbf{t}^+ \quad \text{on } \Gamma_d^+, \quad \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mathbf{t}^- \quad \text{on } \Gamma_d^-. \quad (3)$$

Here:  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$  is the stress vector;  $\bar{\mathbf{b}}$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{t}}$  are the prescribed external loads per unit volume and surface, respectively;  $\mathcal{C}$  is the differential compatibility operator;  $\mathbf{N}$  and  $\mathbf{M}$  are matrices containing the components of the unit outward normal  $\mathbf{n}$  to  $\Gamma$  and of the unit normal  $\mathbf{m}$  to  $\Gamma_d$ .  $\Gamma_d^+$  and  $\Gamma_d^-$  respectively define the two flanks of  $\Gamma_d$  acted upon by the traction vectors  $\mathbf{t}^+$  and  $\mathbf{t}^-$ . The equilibrium across  $\Gamma_d$  thus reads:

$$\mathbf{t} \equiv \mathbf{t}^- = -\mathbf{t}^+. \quad (4)$$

The linearized compatibility conditions in  $\Omega \setminus \Gamma_d$  and along  $\Gamma_u$  are given by:

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \mathcal{C} \mathbf{u} \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus \Gamma_d; \quad (5)$$

$$\mathbf{u} = \bar{\mathbf{u}} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_u, \quad (6)$$

$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  and  $\mathbf{u}$  being the strain and the displacement vectors, respectively, and  $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$  the assigned displacements along  $\Gamma_u$ . The displacement discontinuity  $[\mathbf{u}]$  across  $\Gamma_d$  can be expressed as:

$$[\mathbf{u}] = \mathbf{u} \Big|_{\Gamma_d^+} - \mathbf{u} \Big|_{\Gamma_d^-}. \quad (7)$$




Figure 2: mixed-mode effective cohesive law.

As far as the constitutive law is concerned, the bulk material in  $\Omega \setminus \Gamma_d$  is assumed to behave elastically, i.e.:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mathbf{D}_\Omega \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus \Gamma_d, \quad (8)$$

$\mathbf{D}_\Omega$  being the bulk stiffness matrix.

A cohesive model is adopted for the PZ. Following [9], an effective displacement discontinuity  $[u]$  is defined according to:

$$[u] = \sqrt{[u]_n^2 + \beta^2 [u]_s^2}, \quad (9)$$

where:  $[u]_n$  and  $[u]_s$  are, respectively, the opening and sliding components of the displacement discontinuity vector  $[\mathbf{u}]$ ;  $\beta^2$  is a coupling coefficient that represents the ratio between mode II and mode I fracture energies [10, 11]. The effective cohesive law is then defined as in Fig. 2, with a linear softening envelope and an effective fracture energy  $G_c = t^M [u]^U / 2$ . Loading/unloading conditions in the softening branch are formulated according to a damage law, such that unloading to the origin of the  $t - [u]$  plane (see Fig. 2) is guaranteed (details can be found in [7]).

At fixed  $\Gamma_d$ , the weak form of the governing relations, account taken of the constitutive laws for the bulk material and for the cohesive PZ, reads:

$$\text{find } \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{U} : \int_{\Omega \setminus \Gamma_d} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^T(\mathbf{v}) \mathbf{D}_\Omega \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\dot{\mathbf{u}}) d\Omega + \int_{\Gamma_d} [\mathbf{v}]^T \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{D}_\Gamma \mathbf{R} [\dot{\mathbf{u}}] d\Gamma_d = \int_{\Omega \setminus \Gamma_d} \mathbf{v}^T \dot{\mathbf{b}} d\Omega + \int_{\Gamma_t} \mathbf{v}^T \dot{\mathbf{t}} d\Gamma_t \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{U}_0, \quad (10)$$

where: a superposed dot stands for rates;  $\mathcal{U}$  is the space of admissible displacements  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $\Omega$ , i.e. such that  $\mathbf{u} = \bar{\mathbf{u}}$  on  $\Gamma_u$ ,  $\mathbf{u}$  possibly discontinuous on  $\Gamma_d$ ;  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{U}_0$  (with zero prescribed displacements on  $\Gamma_u$ ) is the test function;  $\mathbf{D}_\Gamma$  is the cohesive tangent stiffness matrix;  $\mathbf{R}$  is the orthogonal global-local mapping along  $\Gamma_d$ .

To simulate cohesive crack growth in the frame of the extended finite element method, the displacement field is assumed [7, 8]:

$$\mathbf{u}^h(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i \in I} \phi_i(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{u}_i^0 + \sum_{j \in J} \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}) \phi_j(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{u}_j^E, \quad (11)$$

where: the set  $I$  collects all the nodes, whose overlapping supports completely cover  $\Omega$ , while  $J$  gathers only those nodes whose supports are even partially cut by  $\Gamma_d$ ;  $\mathbf{u}_i^0$  are the customary nodal degrees of freedom and  $\mathbf{u}_j^E$  are the additional ones;  $\phi_i$  is the piece-wise linear nodal shape function;  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x})$  is the generalized Heaviside step-function:

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*)^T \mathbf{m} > 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*)^T \mathbf{m} < 0 \end{cases} \quad (12)$$




Figure 3: mixed-mode TPB test. Comparison between experimental (continuous lines) and numerical (filled symbols) load-displacement plots: (a)  $a_0/W = 0.3$ ; (b)  $a_0/W = 0.6$ .

$\mathbf{x}^*$  being the closest point projection of the generic point  $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$  onto  $\Gamma_d$  (see also [8]). This discretization gives rise to a piece-wise linear continuous displacement field in  $\Omega \setminus \Gamma_d$  and a piece-wise linear displacement discontinuity along  $\Gamma_d$ , provided that the  $\Gamma_d$  tip is always located at the edge of an element.

To propagate  $\Gamma_d$ , the stress state ahead of the current crack tip is recovered in a patch of elements whose integration points are located inside a circle centered at the  $\Gamma_d$  tip and with a radius of up to  $n_r$  times the characteristic mesh size (in the forthcoming examples  $n_r = 8$  was used). A fourth-order complete polynomial function is best-fitted to the recovered stress field and, on its basis, crack growth direction is assumed perpendicular to the maximum in-plane principal stress  $\sigma_p$  at the crack tip. According to this criterion, the crack starts propagating as soon as  $\sigma_p$  exceeds the tensile strength  $t^M$ ; at fixed loading conditions, the crack then grows until the condition  $\sigma_p < t^M$  is satisfied.

#### 4 RESULTS


To assess the capability of the proposed extended finite element method to simulate mixed-mode crack growth, results for the TPB tests of Section 2 are presented.

The PZ cohesive law was calibrated by matching the experimental and numerical load vs displacement plots in the case  $a_0/W = 0.3$  and  $\chi = 0.25$ , obtaining:  $t^M = 50$  MPa,  $G_c = 2.5$  N/mm. The elastic properties of the bulk were assumed: Young's modulus  $E = 1900$  MPa, Poisson's ratio  $\nu = 0.39$ . These parameter values guarantee a good agreement between experimental data and numerical outcomes, both in the hardening and in the softening regimes.

As for the load vs displacement relation, it emerges that only for  $a_0/W = 0.6$  and  $\chi = 0.50$  the numerical response is significantly more brittle than the experimental one, and characterized by the sudden drop of the plot at  $u \cong 1.4$  mm. In all the other cases, the eventual steep softening branch is correctly captured and linked to a sudden, unstable propagation of the crack after an initial stable phase.

As far as the failure mode is concerned, in Figs. 4 and 5 the final crack paths are reported for  $a_0/W = 0.3$  and 0.6, respectively. It can be seen that propagation of the crack within the elements allows to obtain results completely independent of the background mesh.


As already noted in [7], by increasing the offset ratio  $\chi$ , for any  $a_0/W$ , the test is characterized by: an increased initial stiffness (slope of the  $P - u$  curve) in the hardening regime; a transition from a whole



(a)



(b)



(c)


Figure 4: mixed-mode TPB test. Simulated crack paths for  $a_0/W = 0.3$ : (a)  $\chi = 0.75$ ; (b)  $\chi = 0.50$ ; (c)  $\chi = 0.25$ .

stable to a stable-unstable fracture process and, hence, to a sudden drop of the load in the softening regime; an increased effect of the mode II loading at the crack tip, as denoted by more inclined crack paths with respect to the initial notch.


ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. This work has been carried out within the context of MIUR-Cofin2003 programme “Interfacial damage failure in structural systems: applications to civil engineering and emerging research fields”.

#### REFERENCES


- [1] D. Rittel and R. Levin. Mode-mixity and dynamic failure mode transitions in polycarbonate. *Mechanics of Materials*, 30:197–216, 1998.
- [2] F. Ducept, D. Gamby, and P. Davies. A mixed-mode criterion derived from tests on symmetric and asymmetric specimens. *Composites Science and Technology*, 59:609–619, 1999.
- [3] P. Rahul-Kumar, A. Agota, S.J. Bennison, and S. Saigal. Interfacial failure in a compressive shear strength test of glass/polymer laminates. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 37:7281–7305, 2000.
- [4] D.B. Lee, T. Ikeda, N. Miyazaki, and N. S. Choi. Damage zone around crack tip and fracture toughness of rubber-modified epoxy resin under mixed-mode conditions. *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*, 69:1363–1375, 2002.



(a)



(b)



(c)

Figure 5: mixed-mode TPB test. Simulated crack paths for  $a_0/W = 0.6$ : (a)  $\chi = 0.75$ ; (b)  $\chi = 0.50$ ; (c)  $\chi = 0.25$ .

- [5] K.S. Madhusudhana and R. Narasimhan. Experimental and numerical investigations on mixed-mode crack growth resistance of a ductile adhesive joint. *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*, 69:865–883, 2002.
- [6] ISO 13586. *Plastics – Determination of fracture toughness (GIC and KIC) – Linear elastic fracture mechanics (LEFM) approach*. International Organization for Standardization, 2000.
- [7] S. Mariani and U. Perego. Extended finite element method for quasi-brittle fracture. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 58:103–126, 2003.
- [8] C. Comi, S. Mariani, and U. Perego. From localized damage to discrete cohesive crack propagation in nonlocal continua. In H.A. Mang, F.G. Rammerstorfer, and J. Eberhardsteiner, editors, *Proceedings of the Fifth World Congress on Computational Mechanics (WCCM V)*. Vienna University of Technology, 2002.
- [9] G.T. Camacho and M. Ortiz. Computational modelling of impact damage in brittle materials. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 33:2899–2938, 1996.
- [10] M. Ortiz and A. Pandolfi. Finite-deformation irreversible cohesive elements for three-dimensional crack-propagation analysis. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 44:1267–1282, 1999.
- [11] A. Corigliano, S. Mariani, and A. Pandolfi. Numerical analysis of rate-dependent dynamic composite delamination. *Composites Science and Technology*, to appear, 2004.