

FRACTURE BEHAVIOUR OF A DUAL PHASE STEEL HAVING A HIGH PERCENTAGE OF MARTENSITE

K.K. RAY

Department of Metallurgical Engineering, IIT, Kharagpur 721.302

ASIM BAG and P. BANDOPADHYAY

Research and Development Division, BEML, Kolar Gold Fields, 563.115

E.S. DWARAKADASA

Department of Metallurgical Engineering, IISc, Bangalore

ABSTRACT

A boron containing micro alloyed steel has been subjected to several inter critical annealing treatments to achieve dual phase ferrite-martensite microstructures having a volume fraction of martensite in the range of approximately 35 to 56%. Impact and fracture toughness of these materials were determined using standard Charpy V-notched and bend chevron notched specimens. Qualitative correlations between these mechanical properties demonstrate that a good potential exists to achieve optimum strength-toughness combinations for dual phase steels containing high percentages of martensite.

INTRODUCTION

The development of steels with dual phase ferrite-martensite microstructures has received considerable attention by the steel and automotive industries, because such steels exhibit good formability and high strength in finished components (Davies, 1978, Lagneborg 1987, Repas 1987). The good combination of strength and ductility in these microstructures is derived from the properties of the two constituents. Several attempts (as summarized by Lagneborg 1987, Repas 1987) have been made to improve and optimize properties of these materials either by addition of alloying elements or by searching for different heat treatment schedules. In such attempts to optimize the mechanical properties, one emphasis has been to limit the fraction of martensite to around 20% so as to keep a high ductility (% elongation > 20%). This has led to intensive research on the tensile properties and tensile fracture behaviour of these materials (like, Davies 1978, Su, Sun and Yang 1987, Kang and Kwon 1987). Attempts to develop dual phase steels using a fracture toughness characterization approach to optimize the volume fraction of the constituents are almost non-existent because the tensile properties of the materials, in general, indicate the high strength of such materials having high percentage of martensite is generally associated with poorer ductility. On the other hand, it is known (Chang and Preban 1985, Mediratta, Ramaswamy and Rama Rao 1985) that the morphology of the constituents in a dual phase steel significantly influences the cracking behaviour. The influence of martensite morphology in dual phase steels containing a volume fraction of martensite > 20% has not been examined in a systematic manner. The primary objective of this paper is to study the fracture behaviour of dual phase steels containing a large volume fraction of martensite using toughness characterization approach.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Material, Heat Treatment and Specimen Preparation

The chemical composition of the steel is shown in Table I. The as-received material was in the form of a plate of 14mm thickness from which toughness test coupons were cut out in T-L orientation for fabricating standard Charpy (ASTM E-23) and bend chevron notched specimens (Fig.1). All specimens were soaked at 920°C for 30 min and ice-brine quenched. These specimens were then grouped into different sets and were intercritically annealed at 730°C, 740°C to 840°C at 20°C intervals for time duration of 60 min and finally oil quenched. The bend chevron notched specimens (Fig.1) were fabricated using a CNC machine, and the chevron notches were prepared using a 0.3mm slitting cutter. A series of specimens for microstructural examination and other mechanical property evaluations were also intercritically treated in an identical manner.

TABLE I
Material Composition

Element	C	Mn	S	P	Si	Cr	Mo	B	V	N
Wt.%	0.16	1.32	< 001	0.013	0.44	< 0.05	0.09	0.0019	0.056	0.040

Metallographic Examinations

The prior austenite grain size of the material was estimated by comparative assessment with standard ASTM grain size charts and was found to possess a grain size finer than ASTM No.10 (11 μm). The volume fraction of martensite in the heat treated microstructures was estimated by point counting method using a 10x10 grid on 25 fields of observation. All these assessments were done using a Nikon Epiphot microscope connected to a video monitor. A separate series of microstructural examinations was also carried out using a Jeol JSM5200 scanning electron microscope. The amounts of retained austenite in the materials were determined by X-ray measurements and were found to be less than 3% in all samples.

Related Mechanical Tests

Hardness measurements were carried out using a digital microhardness tester LECO DM400. Ten measurements were made for each heat treated specimen using a load of 300gm for 10 sec with the help of a Vicere's pyramid diamond indenter. Tensile tests were carried out using cylindrical specimens of gauge length = 35mm and diameter = 8.75mm as per ASTM standard E8 using a nominal strain rate of 1.86×10^{-3} /sec in an Instron servo hydraulic machine.

Toughness Measurements

Impact toughness of the materials was determined using standard Charpy V-notched specimens at room temperature. All bend chevron notched fracture toughness (K_{1CV}) tests were carried out in three point bend configuration using an Instron 1344 servo hydraulic machine at a cross head velocity of 0.02mm/min at the room temperature of 295K.

Fractographic Studies

Fracture surfaces of tensile, impact and chevron notched bend specimens were examined using a JEOL JSM200 scanning electron microscope and a series of fractographs were taken in the regions of interest to understand the fracture behaviour.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The ferrite-martensite dual phase structures can be achieved by two types of heat treatment schedules referred to here as intermediate quenched (IQ) and step quenched (SQ) treatments shown in Fig. 2. The IQ treatment, in general gives a fine dispersion of martensite in ferrite matrix (Mediratta, Ramaswamy and Rama Rao), which in general, leads to better mechanical properties. That is why the IQ treatment schedule has been selected in this investigation. Two typical dual phase microstructures are shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4. The commonly observed martensite morphologies in these microstructures (Su, Sun and Yang 1987) are: (i) the island type where the martensite phase is randomly distributed as islands in the ferrite matrix and (ii) the lamellar type where the martensite phase is distributed as lamellae alternating with ferrite of the same orientation. The investigated microstructures, in general, exhibited a mixture of both these two types of martensitic morphologies (Fig. 3). But the lamellar morphology was found to be more predominant in microstructures containing around 50% martensite (Fig.4). The volume fraction of martensite in dual phase structures depends on: Carbon content, Alloying element and Annealing temperature. For the employed heat treatment schedules the percentage martensite varied with the intercritical annealing temperature as shown in Fig. 5. It is observed that the martensite transformation is relatively sluggish at high intercritical temperatures.

The hardness, the Charpy impact energy and the fracture toughness (estimated by using bend chevron notch specimens) of the different microstructural states are presented in Fig. 6-8, respectively. An interesting observation emerges from Fig. 6 and 7 that both the hardness and impact energy increase with increase in percentage martensite in the microstructure. This observation is contradictory to an earlier report (Kang and Kwon 1987) where impact energies have been reported to be monotonically decreasing with increase in hardness values. The values of Fracture Toughness (K_{1CV}) were also found to increase with increase in % martensite up to 50% whereby K_{1CV} dropped with further increase in martensite content in the microstructures. These results support the trend of impact energy variation with volume fraction of martensite. With the help of Fig. 7 and 8 it can thus be concluded that dual phase microstructures containing about 50% martensite having a predominantly lamellar morphology exhibit reasonably high toughness values and can be considered for structural applications. The yield strength of these microstructural states were found to be approximately 500-550 MPa with uniform elongation between 14-16% (the details of which are given in a separate communication (Ray, Bag and Dwarakadasa, ICF8, 1993). It remains an intriguing question as to how dual phase steels having a high percentage of martensite exhibit a good combination of strength and toughness. This answer is inherent in two typical scanning electron fractographs shown in Fig. 9 and 10. The former shows transgranular cleavage and the latter indicates dimpled structure for low (< 20%) and high (= 50%) percentage of martensite in the materials, and thus support the observed trend of increased toughness of the microstructure having = 50% martensite. Following the fracture micromechanism in dual phase steels (Su, Sun and Yang 1987) it is contended that in high martensite (> 50%) structures microcracks form at ferrite-martensite boundaries, which grow and coalesce to form the main cracks (Fig.10).

SUMMARY

Boron containing dual phase ferrite-martensite (= 50%) structures exhibit a good combination of strength and toughness. The higher toughness indices for these steels emerge from the particular morphology of martensite in these microstructure; this specific morphology leads to the fracture micro-mechanism of void formation and coalescence.

REFERENCES

1. Chang, P.H and Preban, A.G. (1985) 'The effect of ferrite grain size and martensite volume fraction on the tensile properties of Dual-phase steels', *Acta Met.*, 33, 887-903
2. Davies R.G. (1978), 'Influence of martensite composition and content on the properties of dual phase steels', *Metall. Trans.*, 9A, 671-679
3. Kang S.M. and Kwon, H. (1987), 'Fracture behaviour of intercritically treated complex structures in medium carbon 6Ni steel', *Metall. Trans.*, 18A, 1587-1592
4. Lagneborg, R. (1987), 'Structure property relationships in dual phase steels', in *Dual Phase and cold pressing vanadium steels in the automobile industry*, 13-24
5. Mediratta, S.R., Ramaswamy, V. and Rama Rao, P. (1985) 'Influence of ferrite-martensite microstructural morphology on the low cycle fatigue of a dual phase steel', *Int. J. Fatigue*, 7, 107-115
6. Repas, P. E., 1987, *Metallurgy, Production Technology and properties of dual phase sheet steels*. Dual Phase and Cold Pressing Vanadium Steels in the Automobile Industry, 13-24
7. Ray, K.K., Bag, A. and Dwarakadasa, E.S. (1993) Fracture behaviour of high martensite dual phase steels, To be presented at this conference, ICF-8, Kiev, Ukraine
8. Su, M., Sun, S.M. and Yang, D.Z. (1987) An in-situ observation of tensile fracture in lamellar dual phase structure, *Scripta Metall.*, 21, 801-804




Fig. 1 Geometry of the chevron notched specimen.




Fig. 2 Schematic representation of heat treatment cycles




Fig. 3 A typical optical micrograph of a dual phase steel




Fig. 4 A typical scanning electron micrograph of a dual phase steel




Fig.5 Martensite volume fraction versus different intercritical annealing temperatures.




Fig.6 Variation of hardness with volume fraction of martensite




Fig.7 Variation of impact energy with volume fraction of martensite




Fig.8 Variation of chevron notched fracture toughness (K_{Icv}) versus volume fraction of martensite




Fig.9 Typical transgranular cleavage in a dual phase steel containing 39% martensite




Fig.10 Dimple fracture morphology observed in a dual phase steel containing 50% martensite